

THE PUBLIC COMMISSION ON THE OREGON LEGISLATURE
PROCESS COMMITTEE

December 6, 2005
1:00 P.M.

Hearing Room A
Tapes 5 - 8

MEMBERS PRESENT: Ginny Lang, Chair
 Frank Brawner
 Dave Frohnmayer
 Sen. Avel Gordly
 Tim Hibbitts
 Barbara Karmel
 Hans Linde

GUEST MEMBER: Sen. Morse

STAFF PRESENT: Marjorie Taylor, Committee Administrator
 Kellie Whiting, Committee Assistant

PROPOSALS/ISSUES HEARD:

Opening remarks from the Chair – Informational Meeting
Session Structure Discussion – Invited Panel – Informational Meeting
Public Input on Session Structure Discussion – Informational Meeting
Review Committee Work Plan – Informational Meeting
Proposals # 2, 21, 97, 3, 139, 99, 96, 41, 95, 94, 75, 93, 137, 79, 91
131, 132, 46, 88, 89, 19, 79, 102 AND 107 – Work Session

These minutes are in compliance with Senate and House Rules. Only text enclosed in quotation marks reports a speaker's exact words. For complete contents, please refer to the tapes.

TAPE/#	Speaker	Comments
TAPE 5, A		
002	Chair Lang	Calls the meeting to order at 1:02 p.m.
<u>OPENING REMARKS FROM THE CHAIR – INFORMATIONAL MEETING</u>		
005	Chair Lang	Acknowledges Sen. Morse's presence as a guest member and points out that Frank Brawner is now a member of the Process Committee. Explains the terminology used on the meeting agenda; defining what constitutes as an informational meeting and work session. Comments that the meeting minutes are available to commission members. Refers to the list of Process Committee topic headings (EXHIBIT A), the list of issues in the Process Committee (EXHIBIT B), the Process Committee Work Plan Outline (EXHIBIT C), the list of Process Committee issues to be dropped (EXHIBIT D) and the list of Process Committee issues to be discussed (EXHIBIT E). Refers to the

prepared testimony of Randall Edwards (**EXHIBIT F**), a memorandum prepared by Bruce Bishop (**EXHIBIT G**), prepared testimony of Sen. Morse (**EXHIBIT H**) and an outline of a report presented to the Joint Interim Committee on Oregon's Future (1992), submitted by Ramona Kenady (**EXHIBIT I**).

090 Sen. Gordly Requests a report from staff on the number of public members who are contacting the committee wanting to testify.

SESSION STRUCTURE DISCUSSION – INVITED PANEL – INFORMATIONAL MEETING

097 Chair Lang Introduces the topic of session structure and the different alternatives to the current structure. Invites Treasurer Randall Edwards and Sen. Richard Devlin to come forward.

111 Randall Edwards Oregon State Treasurer. Reads from prepared testimony in support of annual sessions (**EXHIBIT F**). Talks about the need for Oregon to move from a biennial session to an annual session. States it is time to ask Oregon voters to make the change. Asserts that annual sessions will strengthen the legislature and bring more fiscal stability, more accountability and a better run government. States he believes with annual sessions, the legislature should maintain the citizen legislature. Provides examples of the size and scope of the legislature's responsibilities which have grown, and testifies that the body is no longer able to effectively govern with the biennial structure. Suggests that with annual sessions, the legislature would meet more often, but not for a longer period of time. Acknowledges that proposing annual sessions will not be a cure to all problems, but rather a step that acknowledges a state in a world in which it operates, has changed over the last 145 years and sometimes it takes changing tradition to better serve the citizens.

213 Sen. Richard Devlin Senate District 19. Testifies in support of annual sessions. States in the 2005 session, he was the chief sponsor of SJR 9 (2005), proposing a constitutional amendment, requiring annual legislative sessions. Notes the amendment also required that the session in the odd number of years not exceed 120 calendar days and during the even number of years not to exceed 45 calendar days, both commencing on the second Monday of January. Provides a brief history of annual sessions. Comments on the states that have annual sessions. Notes that 44 state legislatures currently meet annually. Points out that all states with biennial sessions, limit the length of session, except Oregon. States the issue will not go away and the topic is always in the legislature. States that the last time Oregonians had the opportunity to vote on annual sessions was Measure 3 (1990). States annual sessions have been introduced in every regular session since 1995. Provides the measures and sponsors. Notes the support for annual session has been bipartisan. Notes SJR 9 (2005) passed the Senate 25- 4. States the voters should have the chance to decide on annual sessions. Testifies that the benefits of retiming the current session would not have the same benefits as annual sessions.

307 Sen. Devlin Provides examples of what he believes are the hardships of biennial sessions, including concerns of constituents and the changes in federal law. Requests that decisions made by the committee, not be based on

the convenience of legislators, the executive branch or lobby. Asserts that the decisions should be based on best policy for Oregonians.

356 Bruce Bishop

Attorney, Lobbyist and Capitol Club Vice-President. Declares he is testifying on his own behalf. Provides personal testimony, encouraging recommendations for immediate steps to improve the legislature's operations. Submits and refers to an informational packet including his prepared testimony, 12 Steps to Retime the Oregon Legislature, and attachments 1-9 in support of retimed sessions (**EXHIBIT J**).

TAPE 6, A

002 Bishop

Comments that asking the question of when will session adjourn is the wrong questions to ask. States the question should be, "When should the legislature start?" Testifies he believes the worst answer to the posed question is January of odd number years. Comments there are decades of problems with starting in January of odd numbered years. Provides examples. Cautions that there is not enough time for legislatures to prepare for legislation and when they start in January, it does not work for Oregon. Refers to page four, five and six (**EXHIBIT J**), illustrating the current system and alternatives. Provides one alternative, having starting session in September, which may require an organizational session in January, and be in a "study mode" or active interim until September. States the alternative is prescribed by the Constitution and deserves serious consideration. Explains alternatives two and three on page six. Reiterates that there are options available under the current Constitution and concludes that January in the odd number of years is not the best time to start session. Proposes that changes in the legislative calendar would improve the legislature's process. Refers to page seven titled, "12 Steps to Retime the Oregon Legislature in 2007".

106 Tom Gallagher

Lobbyist. Submits and reads prepared testimony in regards to the current session structure and the effects of changing the current session structure (**EXHIBIT K**). Suggests some problems with annual sessions include: doubling the budget workload of agencies; increasing the time agency administrators spend dealing with legislative issues; creating a scheduling problem in timing the second session with campaign contributions; the unknown affect on candidates of a session prior to a primary or general campaign; and the loss of value of two-year planning cycle.

220 Gallagher

Suggests some changes that the legislature could make in the operation of the session such as: tightening rules on the introduction of bills; matching E-Board meetings to committee meetings; adjourning to a date certain in off year for a budget review and to improving committee staff work and continuing it through the interim to shape key legislation through the interim committee for the next session.

253 Sen. Gordly

Asks Sen. Devlin and Mr. Edwards if they would address the place or function of the Emergency Board and about the suggestions made to the current session structure.

273 Sen. Devlin

Answers that some type of Emergency Board would be needed with annual sessions. Briefly touches on the authority of the E-Board.

- 297 Edwards Answers they may not need an E-board if structured correctly. Responds to Mr. Gallagher's testimony and comments that annual sessions do not necessarily contemplate annual budgets. Compares Oregon to Washington State.
- 321 Frohnmayer Cautions that one sacrifice of annual sessions is the loss of pretence of a citizen legislature. Explains the advantages of two-year budgeting. Questions why one house could not change its session structure.
- 378 Sen. Devlin Responds. Compares other states that meet annually, but meet for fewer days than Oregon's biennial session. Suggests there is no direct correlation between annual sessions and a professional legislature.

TAPE 5, B

- 002 Sen. Devlin Comments on the difficulties in terms of recruiting and/or attracting persons to serve in a biennial legislature with no certain time limit. Testifies that annual sessions would assist in the relations between legislators, policy and budget issues. Concurrs with the problem of having an immediate session after the general election cycle.
- 045 Karmel Asks if there are consequences for the initiative process with annual sessions.
- 050 Edwards Responds about the initiative process. Adds he does not see annual sessions changing the initiative and referendum process.
- 067 Karmel Recaps on the comment made. Asks if the tasks that the public are asking for would be addressed by the legislature more quickly if Oregon had annual sessions.
- 070 Edwards Concurrs.
- 077 Bishop Responds there are ways the legislature can function without relying on statutory or constitutional change, and without dramatically overhauling the institution. Notes that as the legislature is able to make decisions, it would deflect some authority of the initiative process.
- 085 Karmel Concurrs. Inquires if the retiming of session or annual sessions would assist in improving legislative functions.
- 090 Bishop Responds from his perspective, retiming does. Talks about the natural incentive to adjourn if starting in September.
- 107 Sen. Devlin Urges the committee to recommend change statutorily or constitutionally, not by rule. Asks that the alternatives presented be exclusive from one another.
- 146 Bishop States there is a statute that requires the Ways and Means Committee be a joint committee. Comments the legislature needs resolve in terms of how to function and may be accomplished by rule.
- 162 Linde Asks how many days a legislator would have to be in Salem during the interim with a change in session structure.
- 188 Bishop Responds he feels that realigning the calendar strengthens the role of the committee. Responds the time spent in Salem would depend on the issue. Comments that an active interim process should be better understood by the public.
- 213 Linde Comments on the interim activity. Asks if initiative petitions came before session adjournment, would it help and provide the legislature

- an opportunity to study the issue.
- 260 Edwards Responds that he feels that annual sessions is a structural change that would be advantageous to the peoples' business. Comments an annual session structure would serve the state better.
- 306 Gallagher Responds about recognizing the movement toward a professional legislature. Comments on the different types of committees.

PUBLIC INPUT ON SESSION STRUCTURE DISCUSSION

TAPE 6, B

- 002 Susan Keen Provides testimony in support of annual sessions.
- 046 Paula Krane League of Women Voters of Oregon. Testifies in support of annual sessions.
- 060 Sen. Frank Morse Senate District 8. Reads from prepared testimony (**EXHIBIT H**). Offers specific concepts for improvement with respect to issues surrounding the loss of public confidence; reduction of legislative independence and authority; increasing complexity of funding and legislative oversight and excessive political partisanship. Speaks in support of focused and concise annual sessions with a productive interim.
- 160 Sen. Morse Recommends implementing annual sessions with time certain endings. Advises recommendations to the budget process and legislator compensation.
- 261 Sen. Morse Provides recommendations concerning the excessive partisanship issue.
- 315 Frohnmayer Responds to the partisanship perception and reality.
- 331 Sen. Morse Responds about legislation which passed the Senate, making legislative offices and statewide elected offices non-partisan.
- 342 Frohnmayer Requests information regarding proportional representation on committees and recognition of minority party rights.
- 361 Sen. Gordly Encourages Sen. Morse to send his testimony to all legislators. Inquires about the place of the E-Board and the budget making process, with regards to the recommendations suggested by Sen. Morse.
- 387 Sen. Morse Responds he feels that there is a function for the E-Board even in an annual session.

TAPE 7, A

- 002 Hibbitts Inquires about minority party committee Vice-Chairs.
- 007 Chair Lang Indicates that there are currently committee Vice-Chairs in which are from the minority party in both House and Senate Committees.
- 014 Sen. Gordly Recognizes the concern of Sen. Morse and comments that it is not a routine practice nor is it institutionalized.
- 018 Linde Comments on the membership of the committees and reasons thereof. Inquires about the headline Sen. Morse referred to in his testimony.
- 040 Sen. Morse Reads the headline to the committee.
- 059 Linde Inquires about a structural separation or function.
- 076 Sen. Morse Responds that the expectation of the interim committee work should be

- elevated.
- 088 Ramona Kenady Chief Clerk, House of Representatives. Announces that her testimony is that of her own opinion. Refers to the outline of a report, submitted by Ms. Kenady and Mr. Jim Scherzinger to the 1992 Joint Interim Committee on Oregon's Future, addressing re-timed sessions (**EXHIBIT I**). Reflects on the committees' discussion thus far. Suggests that with re-timing session, an intense interim in the beginning of the cycle, makes sense. Speaks about the impact of term limits has had on the institutional memory and policy development in the House.
- 188 Kenady Recommends having an organizational session which could happen as early as December, with regards to the intense interim. Illustrates the advantages of re-timing sessions; the intense interim; and the functions of an organizational session on pages one through four. Suggests the process if put into statute, can be undone by rule. Provides clarification and explains that proportional representation of House Committees is in rule and has been retained.
- 233 Chair Lang Acknowledges that (**EXHIBIT I**) is an outline of the 1992 report.
- 243 Kenady States the details of the report are available at the committee's request.
- 257 Chair Lang Inquires what happened to the recommendations made in 1992.
- 254 Kenady Responds it passed the House in 1993, but did not get serious consideration in the Senate. Explains the recommendation was re-visited in 1995 with some changes. Welcomes the committee to review the details available.
- 273 Frohnmayer Inquires if the fiscal year would change.
- 280 Kenady Responds the recommendation would require the fiscal year to change. Encourages inviting Mr. Jim Scherzinger, to testify before the committee.
- 300 Sen. Gordly Commends the respect Ms. Kenady has for the process and the institution. Comments that the committee may not have to re-invent the wheel, but to understand the recommendation. Requests that the committee spend quality time understanding the (1992) report and the previous recommendation made.
- 330 Karmel Requests a two-page summary of the 1992 report.
- 349 Kenady Recommends using (**EXHIBIT I**) as a useful summary.
- 360 Chair Lang Suggests asking Jim Scherzinger to also provide input.
- 363 Linde Inquires if a September start date was recommended.
- 372 Kenady Responds the September start date was recommended. Comments it made sense to put the interim in front of session.
- 390 Linde Inquires about the timing and the workload for the even numbered year.
- 400 Kenady Responds about the recommended organizational session and the period of time in which legislators formally review proposals in a pre-scheduled manner.

TAPE 8, A

- 002 Kenady Discusses the placement of the Emergency Board and the structuring of

- subject matter.
- 034 Brawner Inquires about the fiscal year portion of the proposal.
- 040 Kenady Responds it was suggested, that changing the fiscal year would be closer to mirroring the federal calendar and would provide for a budgeting cycle in which would provide stability to the education community.
- 059 Brawner Inquires about the other states in which have moved to a similar structure.
- 063 Kenady Responds.
- 080 Chair Lang Refers to the Process Committee Work Plan (**EXHIBIT C**).

REVIEW COMMITTEE WORK PLAN – INFORMATIONAL MEETING

- 100 Chair Lang Refers to the list of Process Committee topic headings (**EXHIBIT A**). Reiterates the commitment made to the full commission, in terms of having a general direction on session structure, elements of the makeup of the general assembly and the budget to present to the full commission meeting on February 13, 2005. Illustrates the Process Committee work plan outline (**EXHIBIT C**).
- 165 Karmel Comments on using excessive partisanship and public confidence as a test to all recommendations made.
- 189 Frohnmayer Suggests having the problem statements drive what recommendations are made.
- 207 Karmel Suggests using partisanship and the consequences as a driver when discussing other issues.
- 217 Chair Lang Expresses that other committees need recommendations on some specific issues as well.
- 236 Hibbitts Asserts his recollection was to move through the “low-hanging fruit”.
- 239 Chair Lang Clarifies that the issue of excessive partisanship will be dealt with, but the groundwork needs to be understood.
- 253 Linde Suggests that instead of trying to identify partisanship and apply it to every operational and institutional issue, the focus should be on some root causes.
- 283 Chair Lang Responds about the issues of Process, Purpose and Elections being on the July, August and September work plan. Comments on the issues before the Law Commission’s work group.
- 310 Sen. Gordly Acknowledges that partisanship issues are a reoccurring theme within the work of the committees and is integrated in all issues.
- 352 Chair Lang Inquires if the item should be moved up on the work plan.
- 363 Karmel Comments on using the “partisanship test” against the recommendations.
- 379 Brawner Expresses that partisanship is not sinful and is important. Comments on the other states in which have tried to make changes.

TAPE 7, B

- 002 Brawner Suggests exploring using partisanship to try to accomplish some of the ideas. Responds about an effective party system.

- 013 Sen. Gordly Clarifies about the committee’s concern with excessive partisanship, and acknowledges Mr. Brawner’s distinction of effective partisanship.
- 018 Linde Comments on excessive and effective partisanship.
- 039 Sen. Morse Comments on the involvement of specialist interests, concerning partisanship issues.
- 050 Hibbitts Concurs with Mr. Brawner about needing to have partisanship to have a party system and suggests rephrasing the question to “ideological rigidity”. Comments on the legislature having fewer parties in the middle, but rather far left or far right.
- 064 Brawner Concurs. Speaks about increasing the effectiveness of the parties.
- 078 Lang Requests that the committee allow her to speak with Co-Chair Pryor before rearranging the work plan.
- 089 Karmel Requests guidance from Information Systems and Facilities on remote access and communication for legislators.
- 106 Frohnmayer Comments that the work plan and way of proceeding is fine. Expresses concern about spending committee time only on annual sessions and legislators compensation.
- 115 Chair Lang Opens a work session on proposals #2, 21, 97, 3, 139, 99, 96, 41, 95, 94, 75, 93, and 79. Refers to the list of proposals to be dropped (EXHIBIT D).

Please note: Motions are being made on proposals listed on (EXHIBIT D).

PROPOSALS # 2, 21, 97, 3, 139, 99, 96, 41, 95, 94, 75, 93, 137 and 79 - WORK SESSION

- 136 Chair Lang Explains that the list of issues to be dropped from the list contain parts of proposals that were vague, covered in another topic or proposal, or are not generally sufficient to grasp the idea recommended.
- 137 Karmel **MOTION: Moves ALL OF PROPOSALS # 139, 97, 99, 95, 94, AND 93; PART OF PROPOSAL # 2 (*Legislative time management*); PART OF PROPOSAL #21 (*How laws they make laws and fix problems*); PART OF PROPOSAL # 21 (*Caucus policy*); PART OF PROPOSAL #21 (*Efficiency of the Legislative Process*); PART OF PROPOSAL #3 (*Budget in even years*); PART OF PROPOSAL # 3 (*Annual Sessions. Budget Annually*); PART OF PROPOSAL #139 (*Reorganize legislative committees to focus on real life priorities to ensure long-term economic survival of the state*); PART OF PROPOSAL # 96 (*All bills should have at least five votes from each party*); PART OF PROPOSAL # 96 (*All bills should go to the floor for final action and not be bottled up*); PART OF PROPOSAL # 41 (*Review House and Senate Rules*); PART OF PROPOSAL # 75 (*All bills should have one subject only*); PART OF PROPOSAL # 75 (*Deter “log-rolling”*); PART OF PROPOSAL #75 (*Force the question of “Is this a good or bad idea for Oregon”*); PART OF PROPOSAL # 79 (*Limit campaign contributions from the private sector***

during legislative session. Public entities are not allowed to contribute to campaign funds and are often not allowed the same access to members of the legislature) be dropped from the Process Committee's list of issues to be considered.

- 139 Linde Clarifies that the parts of the proposals to be dropped, does not eliminate the whole topic, but rather parts of the issue.
- 140 Taylor Responds that **(EXHIBIT D)** includes parts of proposals, not entire topics.
- 163 Sen. Gordly Inquires about a proposal #2. States there are some subjects, other committees will hear and consider on some of the items motioned to be dropped from the Process Committee.
- 190 Chair Lang** **Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**
196 Chair Lang Refers to the list of issues to be discussed **(EXHIBIT E)** and explains the intent behind the creation of the list. Closes the work session on Proposals #2, 21, 97, 3, 139, 99, 96, 41, 95, 94, 75, 93, and 79. Opens a work session on proposals # 91, 131, 132, 46, 88,89,19,79,102 and 107.
- *Please note: Motions are being made on proposals listed on (EXHIBIT E).*

PROPOSALS # 91, 131, 132, 46, 88, 89, 19, 79, 102 and 107 - WORK SESSION

- 220 Brawner** **MOTION: Moves PART OF PROPOSAL # 91 (*Continuous legislative sessions by allowing legislators to work out of their homes – communicate, vote, hold hearings remotely – allows members to be citizen and stay constantly up-to-date on issues*) be dropped from the Process Committee's list of issues to be considered.**
- 250 Frohnmayer Talks about the rationale behind dropping certain parts of proposals from the list of items to be considered.
- 260 Hibbitts Concurrs with the suggestion of working through the list item by item.
- 264 Karmel Concurrs.
- 270 Taylor Clarifies the procedures for making motions.
- 275 Sen. Gordly Requests discussion around in-district offices and staff for the in-district offices.
- 280 Taylor Clarifies Proposal #10 is in the Public Institution Committee.
- 285 Chair Lang** **Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**
- 288 Frohnmayer** **MOTION: Moves PROPOSAL # 46 and PART OF PROPOSAL # 19 (*Reduce the amount of time that legislators can be contacted by special interest lobbyists*); (*restrict access of special interest groups – perhaps every other year, special interests can participate*); (*outlaw lobbying – make receiving compensation for the purpose of influencing a legislator illegal*); (*Require all lobbyists to submit a weekly log of every legislator or legislative aide they speak or interact with. Include the length and nature of the conversation. Make logs available for viewing on the***

internet) be dropped from the Process Committee's list of items to be considered.

- 300 Chair Lang Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**
- 300 Chair Lang Asks if there are items the committee would like to leave on the list.
- 313 Linde Asserts that the issue of face-to-face communication or a virtual committee process for informational meetings should be addressed.
- 345 Chair Lang Reads from (**EXHIBIT E**) and clarifies on the proposals left.
- 350 Karmel Inquires if the mention of term limits is combined in any other proposal.
- 353 Chair Lang Responds no.
- 354 Linde Suggests keeping all of Proposal #107 on the list.
- 355 Frohnmayer MOTION: Moves PROPOSAL # 102 be dropped from the Process Committee's list of issues to be considered.**
- 360 Chair Lang Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**
- 373 Karmel MOTION: Moves PROPOSALS # 88 AND 89 be dropped from the Process Committee's list of issues to be considered.**
- 381 Chair Lang Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**
- 391 Brawner MOTION: Moves PART OF PROPOSAL 91(have the legislature meet virtually to allow legislators to stay in district more); and PROPOSAL # 131 AND #132 be dropped from the Process Committee's list of issues to be considered.**
- 400 Taylor Provides clarification on Proposal # 132.
- TAPE 8, B**
- 003 Brawner MOTION: Moves to AMEND the motion (Moves PROPOSAL # 131 AND #132 be dropped from the Process Committee's list of issues to be considered.) by deleting "#132".**
- 011 Chair Lang Hearing no objection, declares the motion CARRIED.**
- 013 Taylor Reiterates that the issues/proposals left on (**EXHIBIT E**) are all of Proposal #132, Proposals listed under Elections and Campaign Finance and all of Proposal #107.
- 026 Sen. Gordly Requests clarifying statements on the rationale behind dropping proposals.
- 034 Frohnmayer Responds the proposals listed under Lobbyists, Influencers and Special Interests are unconstitutional proposals, and would be unenforceable.
- 035 Linde Responds the topics will still be under review.
- 043 Chair Lang Reads the proposals still in committee to be considered on the topic of campaign finance and lobby influence.
- 050 Hibbitts Brings up the issue of nepotism.
- 059 Chair Lang Responds the Public Institution Committee will be discussing the issue. Asks about the public's communication with the commission.
- 067 Taylor Explains the e-mail agenda distribution list, the website, the comment section on the website and correspondence from the public on issues.

084	Karmel	Inquires if there is a sense of how many proposals are from the general public.
090	Taylor	Responds more than 50 percent are general public.
094	Sen. Gordly	Shares she had mentioned to the Senate Democratic Caucus that the subject of nepotism would be addressed by the Public Commission on the Oregon Legislature.
115	Brawner	Requests a list of how Oregon has benefited from the hiring of family.
123	Karmel	Adds that accountability, performance and qualifications are also topics within nepotism.
131	Sen. Gordly	Comments she has concerns about nepotism inhibiting affirmative action.
139	Chair Lang	Asks members for input on the information provided by the witnesses.
140	Karmel	Requests a summary of prepared testimony.
150	Frohnmayr	Suggests not having repeat testimony from today.
155	Brawner	Requests hearing from Mr. Jim Scherzinger.
160	Chair Lang	Closes the work session on proposals # 91,131,132,46,88,89,19,79,102, and 107 and adjourns the meeting at 4:40 p.m.

EXHIBIT SUMMARY

- A. Committee Discussion, list of Process Committee topic headings, staff, 2 pp**
- B. Committee Discussion, list of Process Committee issues, staff, 6 pp**
- C. Committee Discussion, Process Committee work plan outline, staff, 1p**
- D. Proposal #'s: 2, 21, 97, 3, 139, 99, 96, 41, 95, 94, 75, 93, 79, list of issues to be dropped, staff, 1 p**
- E. Proposal #'s: 91, 131, 132, 46, 88, 89, 19, 79, 102, 107, list of issues to be discussed, staff, 1 p**
- F. Session Structure Discussion, prepared testimony, Randall Edwards, 5 pp**
- G. Session Structure Discussion, memorandum, Bruce Bishop, 3 pp**
- H. Session Structure Discussion, prepared testimony, Sen. Frank Morse, 7 pp**
- I. Session Structure Discussion, 1992 report outline, Ramona Kenady, 9 pp**
- J. Session Structure Discussion, informational packet, Bruce Bishop, 57 pp**
- K. Session Structure Discussion, prepared testimony, Tom Gallagher, 2 pp**